arXiv:0704.2395v1 [math.CA] 18 Apr 2007

Multivariate Wavelet Frames [1]

M. Skopina

Abstract

We proved that for any matrix dilation and for any positive integer n, there exists a compactly supported tight wavelet frame with approximation order n. Explicit methods for construction of dual and tight wavelet frames with a given number of vanishing moments are suggested.

1. Introduction

Approximation order of a wavelet frame decomposition

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\nu=1}^{r}\langle f,\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} \tag{1}$$

is closely related to the number of vanishing moments. It follows from Proposition 5.7 of [3] that a MRA-based compactly supported dual wavelet frame system with diagonal matrix dilation provides approximation order N whenever the Fourier transform of all its generators has zero up to order N at the origin (in our terminology, this means that vanishing moment property of order $N-1$ holds for all the generators). We give a proof of another fact: for a wide class of dual wavelet frames (not necessary MRA-based, not necessary with diagonal matrix dilation and not necessary compactly supported), vanishing moment property for $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}, \nu=1,\dots,r$, is sufficient for the corresponding approximation order of (1). On the other hand, vanishing moment property of order 0 for $\psi^{(\nu)}, \nu=1,\dots,r$, is a necessary condition for the system $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ to be a frame. This explains why vanishing moments property is useful for frames, and why study of this topic is important. Some additional arguments are presented in Section 2.

---

[1] The paper is supported by RFBR, project N 06-01-00457

Keywords: wavelet frame, matrix dilation, approximation order, vanishing moments. Unitary Extension Principle

Mathematics Subject Classifications 42C40

If a MRA-based wavelet system is a basis, then the number of its vanishing moments depends only on the dual generating refinable function. Situation is essentially different for frames. Two pairs of dual wavelet frames may be generated by the same refinable functions and have different number of vanishing moments. The goal of this paper is to describe refinable functions generating dual wavelet systems (potential frames) with vanishing moments and to present an explicit method for construction of compactly supported wavelet frames with arbitrary number of vanishing moments. A close problem was studied by Ming-Jun Lai and A. Petukhov[10] for univariate dual wavelet frames. Their technique is not appropriate for multi-dimensional investigations because zero properties of multivariate masks can not be described by means of factorization in contrast to the one-dimensional case.

Throughout the paper we will use the following notations.

$\mathbb{N}$ is the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the d-dimensional Euclidean space, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ are its elements (vectors), $(x, y) = x_1y_1+\ldots+x_dy_d$, $|x| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$, $\mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ is the j-th unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$; $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the integer lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we write $x > y$ if $x_j > y_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$; $\mathbb{Z}^d_+ = \{x \in Z^d : \ x \geq \mathbf{0}\}$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set $\alpha! = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j!$, $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$, $a^b = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d} a_j{}^{b_j}$, $[\alpha] = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j$, $D^{\alpha} f = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]} f}{\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1 \dots \partial^{\alpha_d} x_d}$; δ_{ab} denotes Kronecker delta; $\mathbb{T}^d$ is the unit d-dimensional torus; $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers.

Let M be a non-degenerate $d \times d$ integer matrix whose eigenvalues are bigger than 1 in module, M^* is the conjugate matrix to M, I_d denotes the unit $d\times d$ matrix. We say that numbers $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ are congruent modulo M (write $k \equiv n \pmod{M}$) if $k - n = M\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is split into cosets with respect to the introduced relation of congruence. The number of cosets is equal to $|\det M|$ (see, e.g., [12, §2.2]). Let us take an arbitrary representative from each coset, call them digits and denote the set of digits by $D(M)$. Throughout the paper we consider that such a matrix M is fixed, $m = |\det M|$, $D(M) = \{s_0, \dots, s_{m-1}\}$, $s_0 = \mathbf{0}$, $R(M) = \{M^{-1}s_0, \dots, M^{-1}s_{m-1}\}$.

Let $\psi^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\nu - 1, \dots, r$. Dual wavelet systems $\{\psi^{(\nu)}_{jk}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}_{jk}\}$, where $\psi^{(\nu)}_{jk} := m^{j/2}\psi^{(\nu)}(M^j \cdot +k)$, $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}_{jk} := m^{j/2}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}(M^j \cdot +k)$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$\nu = 1, \dots, r$, form dual frames if for each $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)} \rangle \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} \tag{2}$$

and

$$A\|f\|^2 < \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} |\langle f, \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} \rangle|^2 < B\|f\|^2, \quad A, B > 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\widetilde{A}\|f\|^2 < \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} |\langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)} \rangle|^2 < \widetilde{B}\|f\|^2, \quad \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B} > 0. \tag{4}$$

Let a MRA in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be generated by a scaling function φ which satisfies the refinement equation

$$\widehat{\varphi}(x) = m_0(M^{*-1}x)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-1}x),$$

where $m_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is its mask (refinable mask). For any $m_\nu \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, there exists a unique set of functions $\mu_{\nu k} \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $k = 0, \dots, r$, (polyphase representatives of m_ν) so that

$$m_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i (s_k, x)} \mu_{\nu k}(M^* x). \tag{5}$$

The functions $\mu_{\nu k}$ can be expressed by

$$\mu_{\nu k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{s \in D(M^*)} e^{-2\pi i (M^{-1}s_k, x+s)} m_\nu(M^{*-1}(x+s)).$$

It is clear from these formulas that a function m_ν is differentiable (n times) on $R(M^*)$ if and only if its polyphase representatives $\mu_{\nu k}$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, are differentiable (n times) at the origin and m_ν is a trigonometric polynomial if and only if its polyphase representatives, are a trigonometric polynomials.

Let now another MRA be generated by a scaling function $\widetilde{\varphi}$ with a mask $\widetilde{m}_0$. According to *Unitary Extension Principle* [16], to construct dual wavelet frames one finds wavelet masks $m_\nu, \widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$, so that the polyphase matrices

$$\mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \dots & \mu_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{r,0} & \dots & \mu_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_{00} & \dots & \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\mu}_{r,0} & \dots & \widetilde{\mu}_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfy

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{T}}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} = I_m, \tag{6}$$

and define wavelet functions by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(x) &= m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-1}x), \\ \widehat{\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}}(x) &= \widetilde{m}_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-1}x). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding dual wavelet systems are $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is said to be generated by φ, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ (or MRA-based).

It is known that if $\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ then $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a tight frame in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are arbitrary matrixes satisfying (6), under some additional assumptions on $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, m_\nu, \widetilde{m}_\nu$ (see [6], [7], [12, §2.7]), we can state that $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ are dual frames in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 1 *We say that a wavelet system* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *has vanishing moments up to order* α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, *(has* VM_α property *in the sequel), if* $D^\beta \widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\beta \le \alpha$.

Usually it is more useful to control univariate order of vanishing moment property (for example, to apply Taylor formula).

Definition 2 *We say that a wavelet system* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *has vanishing moments up to order* n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, *(has* VM^n property *in the sequel) if* $D^\beta \widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta] \le n$.

2. Why vanishing moments are needed for frames?

Theorem 3 *Any wavelet frame* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *has* VM^0 *property provided all the functions* $\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}$ *are bounded and continuous at the origin.*

`Proof.` Let $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ be a wavelet frame in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume that $\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{0}) \ne 0$ for at least one ν. Take a function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whose Fourier transform is compactly supported. Using standard technique, we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle|^2 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(M^{-j}x|^2 |\widehat{f}(x)|^2\,dx + R_j,$$

where

$$R_j \le \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} |\widehat{f}(x)|\, |\widehat{f}(x+M^j l)|\, |\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(M^{-j}x|\, |\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(M^{-j}x+l)|\, dx.$$

If j is large enough positive integer, then the supports of the functions $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{f}(\cdot + M^j l)$ are disjoint for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $l \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle|^2 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(M^{-j}x|^2 |\widehat{f}(x)|^2\, dx \underset{j\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} |\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{0})|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

This contradict to (3).$\Diamond$

Let $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ be dual frames. Decompositions (2) is said to have approximation order n if there exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that for any function f in the Sobolev space W_2^n

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i<j} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{ik}^{(\nu)} \right\|_2 \le C \frac{\|f\|_{W_2^n}}{\lambda^{jn}}. \tag{7}$$

Since all spectrum of the operator M^{-1} is located in the circle $|x| \le r(M^{-1})$, where $r(M^{-1}) := \lim_{i\to\infty} \|M^{-i}\|^{1/i}$ is the spectral radius of M^{-1}, and there exists at least one point of the spectrum on the boundary of the circle, (7) follows from

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i<j} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{ik}^{(\nu)} \right\|_2 \le C\|f\|_{W_2^n} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \|M^{-i}\|^n$$

with λ which is less than the minimal module of an eigenvalue of M. For a diagonal matrix $M = cI_d$, (7) holds with $\lambda = c$.

Theorem 4 *Let $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ be dual wavelet frames,*

$$|\psi^{(\nu)}(x)|, |\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}(x)| \le \frac{C_1}{(1+|x|)^\gamma}, \quad \gamma > n + d,$$

for all $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, and almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ has VM^{n-1} property, then decompositions (2) have approximation order n.

We need the following auxiliary statement for the proof.

Lemma 5 *Let η be a positive bounded function decreasing on $[0,\infty)$ so that $\eta(|x|)$ is summable on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists a constant K depending on η and d such that*

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\eta(|x+k|)\eta(|y+k|)\le K\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{8}\right)\tag{8}$$

for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$.

`Proof.` First of all note that there exist constants K_1, K_2 such that

$$\eta(|t|)\le K_1,\quad \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\eta(|t+k|)\le K_2\ \ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since both the left and the right hand sides of (8) are invariant with respect to the operation $(x,y)\to(x+l,y+l)$, $l\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, we can assume that $|x|\le\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$. If $|y|\le 2\sqrt{d}$, then

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\eta(|x+k|)\eta(|y+k|)\le K_1K_2\le\frac{K_1K_2}{\eta(3\sqrt{d})}\eta(|x-y|).$$

Now let $|y|\ge 2\sqrt{d}$. Since $|x-y|\le 2|y|$ and $|y|\ge 4|x|$, we have

$$\sum_{|k|\le\frac{|y|}{2}}\eta(|x+k|)\eta(|y+k|)\le\sum_{|k|\le\frac{|y|}{2}}\eta(|x+k|)\eta\left(\frac{|y|}{2}\right)\le K_2\eta\left(\frac{|y|}{2}\right)\le K_2\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{4}\right),$$

$$\sum_{|k|\ge\frac{|y|}{2}}\eta(|x+k|)\eta(|y+k|)\le\sum_{|k|\ge\frac{|y|}{2}}\eta\left(\frac{|k|}{2}\right)\eta(|y+k|)\le K_2\eta\left(\frac{|y|}{4}\right)\le K_2\eta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{8}\right).\Diamond$$

`Proof of Theorem 4.` Let $f\in W_2^n$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. It follows from (2) that

$$\left\|f-\sum_{i<j}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\nu=1}^{r}\langle f,\widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{ik}^{(\nu)}\right\|_2\le\sum_{i\ge j}\sum_{\nu=1}^{r}\left\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\langle f,\widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{ik}^{(\nu)}\right\|_2.\tag{9}$$

Since VM^n property is equivalent to

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} y^\alpha \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}(y)\,dy = 0, \quad \nu = 1,\dots,r, i \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d, [\alpha] \le n,$$

using Taylor formula, we have

$$\left|\langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle\right| = \left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}(y)\,dy\right| =$$

$$\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy\, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}(y) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l!}\left((y-x)_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ldots + (y-x)_d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right)^l f(x) + \right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\int\limits_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\left((y-x)_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ldots + (y-x)_d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right)^n f(x+t(y-x))\,dt\right)\right| \le$$

$$C_2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy\, |x-y|^n |\widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}(y)| \int\limits_0^1 |D^\alpha f(x+t(y-x))|\,dt.$$

From this, due to Lemma 5 and Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality, we obtain

$$\left\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{ik}^{(\nu)}\right\|_2^2 \le$$

$$C_2^2 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy |x-y|^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}(y)\psi_{ik}^{(\nu)}(x)| \int\limits_0^1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} |D^\alpha f(x+t(y-x))|\,dt\right)^2 \le$$

$$C_3 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{m^i|x-y|^n}{(1+|M^i(x-y)|)^\gamma}\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} |D^\alpha f(x+t(y-x))|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 =$$

$$C_3 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{m^i|u|^n}{(1+|M^i u|)^\gamma}\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} |D^\alpha f(x+tu)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 \le$$

$$C_3 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{m^i |u|^n}{(1+|M^i u|)^\gamma} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} |D^\alpha f(x+tu)|^2 \cdot \right.$$

$$\left. \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{m^i |u|^n}{(1+|M^i u|)^\gamma} \right) \le C_3 \left(\|f\|_{W_p^2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{m^i |u|^n \, du}{(1+|M^i u|)^\gamma} \right)^2 \le$$

$$C_3 \left(\|f\|_{W_p^2} \|M^{-i}\|^n \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|v|^n \, dv}{(1+|v|)^\gamma} \right)^2 \le$$

$$C_3 \left(\|f\|_{W_p^2} \|M^{-i}\|^n \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dv}{(1+|v|)^{\gamma-n}} \right)^2 = C_4 \|f\|^2_{W_p^2} \|M^{-i}\|^{2n}.$$

It remains to combine this estimation with (9). ◊

The scheme of the proof of Theorem 4 can be applied for some other approximation problems. In a similar way, it is possible to estimate coefficients of decomposition (2), to find the order of approximation at a point for functions with some special local properties, e.g., for the class (introduced by Calderón and Zygmund [1]) of functions $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that,

$$\int\limits_{|x-x_0|\le h} |f(x) - P(x-x_0)| \, dx = o(h^\alpha),$$

where P is an algebraic polynomial. Detailed consideration of these problems is out of our today's interest. Our main goal is investigation of VM^n property for frames and development of methods for their construction.

3. Polyphase characterization of vanishing moments property

Now we will consider only wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ which are generated by scaling functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ whose masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$ are continuous at the origin and $m_0(\mathbf{0}) = \widetilde{m}_0(\mathbf{0}) = 1$.

Assume that the functions $\widehat{\varphi}, m_1, \dots, m_r$ have derivatives up to order α at the origin. It easily follows from Leibniz formula that VM_α property holds if and only if

$$D^\beta (m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \nu = 1, \dots, r, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \beta \le \alpha. \tag{10}$$

In the case $r = m - 1$, there exist different criterions for vanishing moment. It is known [9] how to describe vanishing moment property in terms of linear identities for Fourier coefficients of the dual refinable mask (so-called *sum rule*). Some other descriptions of masks providing VM_α property are found in terms of zero-conditions [9] and in terms of containment in a quotient ideal [11]. The following polyphase criterion was given in [17]: VM_α property is valid for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ if and only if there exist complex numbers λ_γ, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma \le \alpha$, such that $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$,

$$D^\beta \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \gamma \le \beta} \lambda_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\beta - \gamma} \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \beta \le \alpha, \tag{11}$$

for each $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. The set of parameters λ_γ in (11) is unique, and λ_γ does not depend on α due to the following statement.

Proposition 6 *[17] If (11) is valid for the polyphase representatives of $\widetilde{m}_0$, then*

$$\lambda_\beta = D^\beta \left(\widetilde{m}_0(M^{*-1}x) \right) \Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} \tag{12}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\beta \le \alpha$.

So, in the case $r = m - 1$, VM_α property for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ depends only on $\widetilde{m}_0$, i.e. only the first raw of the matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is responsible for vanishing moments of wavelets generated by the matrix $\mathcal{M}$. In the case $r > m-1$, VM_α property for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ depends also on the way of construction of matrixes $\mathcal{M}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. This may be illustrated by the following example.

Let $d = 1$, $M = m = 2$, $\mu_{00} = \mu_{01} = \widetilde{\mu}_{00} = \widetilde{\mu}_{01} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$,

$$\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Either of pairs $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{M}', \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ satisfies (6). The matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ generate wavelet masks $m_1(x) = m_2(x) = \widetilde{m}_1(x) = \widetilde{m}_2(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{2\pi i x}$. It is clear that $m_1(0) = m_2(0) = \widetilde{m}_1(0) = \widetilde{m}_2(0) = 0$, i.e. for the corresponding wavelet systems VM_0 property is valid. The matrixes $\mathcal{M}', \widetilde{\mathcal{M}'}$ generate wavelet masks $m_1'(x) = \frac{1}{2}$, $m_2'(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi i x}$, $\widetilde{m}_1'(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi i x}, \widetilde{m}_2'(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi i x}$, and we have $m_1'(0) \neq 0, m_2'(0) \neq 0$.

Theorem 7 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $r \geq m-1$, the functions $\mu_{\nu,k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k} \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\nu, k = 0, \dots, r$, have derivatives up to order α at the origin, the matrixes $\mathcal{N} := \{\mu_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r$ satisfy*

$$\mathcal{N}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^T} = I_{r+1}; \tag{13}$$

and let masks $\widetilde{m}_0, m_1, \dots, m_{m-1}$ be defined by (5). Then condition (10) is valid if and only if

(a) there exist $\lambda_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma \leq \alpha$, such that $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ and (11) holds for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$;

(b) $D^\gamma \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $k = m, \dots, r$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma \leq \alpha$.

`Proof.` Suppose that (10) is valid. We will prove (a) and (b) by induction on α. Check the initial step for $\alpha = 0$. Let $m_\nu(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$. It follows form (5) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, by (13),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Because of linear independence of the vectors $(\mu_{\nu 0}(\mathbf{0}), \dots, \mu_{\nu,r}(\mathbf{0})) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, there exists λ so that

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{00}(\mathbf{0}) = \dots = \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \lambda, \;\; \widetilde{\mu}_{0m}(\mathbf{0}) = \dots = \widetilde{\mu}_{0,r}(\mathbf{0}) = 0.$$

Taking into account the condition $\widetilde{m}_0(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu 0}(\mathbf{0}) + \dots + \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu,m-1}(\mathbf{0}) \right) = 1,$$

we obtain $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$.

For the inductive step we assume that (10) is valid for $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$ and $(a), (b)$ holds for all $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\alpha' < \alpha$. So, due to Proposition 6, there exist constants $\lambda_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma < \alpha$ such that (11) holds for all $\beta < \alpha$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma < \alpha$, due to (5) and Leibniz formula, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha-\gamma} \binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^{\alpha-\gamma} m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0. \tag{15}$$

It follows from (13) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}} \mu_{\nu k} = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Differentiating this equality α times gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0.$$

Taking into account the inductive hypotheses, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{k=m}^{r} \overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Multiply (15) by $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma}\overline{\lambda_\gamma}$ and subtract from (16). After the same manipulation with each $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma < \alpha$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{k=m}^{r} \overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) -$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma<\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma} \overline{\lambda_\gamma} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha-\gamma} \binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) =$$

$$\sum_{k=m}^{r} \overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Bigg(\overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} -$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\ne\alpha \\ \mathbf{0}\le\gamma<\alpha-\beta}} \overline{\binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}^{-1} \lambda_\gamma (-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma}} \Bigg) D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}).$$

From this, taking into account that

$$\binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}^{-1}=\frac{(\alpha-\beta)!}{\gamma!(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)!}=\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma}, \qquad (17)$$

and using the inductive hypotheses, the sum over β is deduced to

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\Bigg(\overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\ne\alpha\\ \mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\alpha-\beta}}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma}\lambda_\gamma(-2\pi iM^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma}}\Bigg)D^{\beta}\mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0})=$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(\overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma<\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}\lambda_\gamma(-2\pi iM^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\gamma}}\right)\mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}).$$

So, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(\overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma<\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}\lambda_\gamma(-2\pi iM^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\gamma}}\right)\mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0})+\sum_{k=m}^{r}\overline{D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})}\mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0})=0.$$

Similarly to the arguments for the initial step, it follows from (14) that there exists λ_α such that

$$D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma<\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}\lambda_\gamma(-2\pi iM^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\gamma}=\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\sqrt{m}},\quad k=0,\dots,m-1,$$

$$D^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})=0,\quad k=m,\dots,r.$$

Thus, (11) is valid for $\beta=\alpha$ as was to be proved.

Now we assume that $(a),(b)$ are valid. We will prove (10) by induction on α. If (11) is valid for $\alpha=\mathbf{0}$, then $\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})=1/\sqrt{m}$, $k=0,\dots,m-1$. It follows from (13) and (b) that

$$\mu_{\nu 0}(\mathbf{0})+\ldots+\mu_{\nu,m-1}(\mathbf{0})=0,\;\;\nu=1,\dots,r.$$

Hence, on the basis of (5), $m_\nu(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$, what proves the initial step.

For the inductive step, we assume that $(a), (b)$ is valid for $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$ and (10) holds for all $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\alpha' < \alpha$, i.e.

$$D^{\alpha-\gamma} m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \quad \gamma \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad \gamma \leq \alpha.$$

This yields (15) for $\gamma \neq \mathbf{0}$. Multiply (15) by $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma}\overline{\lambda_\gamma}$ and add to (5) differentiated α times. After the same manipulation with each $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\gamma < \alpha$, we obtain

$$D^\alpha m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\beta\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\beta} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) +$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}<\gamma\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma} \overline{\lambda_\gamma} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\beta\leq\alpha-\gamma} \binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\beta\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\gamma\leq\alpha-\beta} \overline{\lambda_\gamma} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-\gamma} \binom{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta} \binom{\alpha}{\beta}^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}).$$

Due to (17), (11) and (b), this yields

$$D^\alpha m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\beta\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{\sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\gamma\leq\alpha-\beta} \lambda_\gamma \binom{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma} (-2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)^{\alpha-\beta-\gamma}} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) =$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0}\leq\beta\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} D^\beta \mu_{\nu k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^\alpha \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)} \mu_{\nu k}(x) \right) \Bigg|_{x=\mathbf{0}} =$$

$$D^\alpha \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)} \mu_{\nu k}(x) \right) \Bigg|_{x=\mathbf{0}}.$$

It follows from (13) that $D^\alpha m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0$ as was to be proved. $\Diamond$

It is not difficult to see that $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ has VM^n property if and only if (10) is valid for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $[\alpha] \le n$. The following statement follows immediately from Theorem 7 and Proposition 6.

Theorem 8 *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\quad r \ge m-1$, the functions $\mu_{\nu,k}$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k} \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\nu, k = 0, \dots, r$, have derivatives up to order n at the origin, the matrixes $\mathcal{N} := \{\mu_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r$ satisfy (13); and let masks $\widetilde{m}_0, m_1, \dots, m_{m-1}$ be defined by (5). Then condition (10) is valid for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $[\alpha] \le n$, if and only if*

(a) there exist $\lambda_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma] \le n$, such that $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ and (11) holds for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$;

(b) $D^\gamma \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $k = m, \dots, r$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma] \le n$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we will denote by $L_\infty^{(n)}$ the class of complex-valued functions which are in $L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and have continuous derivatives up to order n at the origin.

Lemma 9 *Let $\mu_{\nu 0}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu 0} \in L_\infty^{(n)}$, $\nu = 0, \dots, r$, and*

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \mu_{\nu 0} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu 0}} = 1. \tag{18}$$

Then there exist functions $\mu_{\nu k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k} \in L_\infty^{(n)}$, $\nu = 0, \dots, r$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, such that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \mu_{\nu l} \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}} = \delta_{kl}, \quad k, l = 0, \dots, r. \tag{19}$$

`Proof.` Set

$$\mu'_{\nu 0} := \begin{cases} \mu_{\nu 0} \Big/ \sqrt{\sum\limits_{l=0}^{r} |\mu_{l0}|^2}, & \text{if} \quad \sqrt{\sum\limits_{l=0}^{r} |\mu_{l0}|^2} \ne 0, \\ 1/\sqrt{m}, & \text{if} \quad \sqrt{\sum\limits_{l=0}^{r} |\mu_{l0}|^2} = 0, \end{cases} \qquad \nu = 0, \dots, r.$$

It is clear that the functions $\mu'_{\nu 0}$ are essentially bounded and

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} |\mu'_{\nu 0}|^2 = 1. \tag{20}$$

It follows from (18) that $\sum_{l=0}^{r} |\mu_{l0}(\mathbf{0})|^2 \neq 0$. So, $\mu'_{\nu 0} \in L_\infty^{(n)}$, $\nu = 0, \dots, r$. Let us extend the unit vector $\mu'_{00}, \dots, \mu'_{r0}$ to a unitary matrix. Due to (20), there exist ν_0 so that $\mu'_{\nu_0 0}(\mathbf{0}) \neq 1$. We may assume that $\nu_0 = 0$ (else we will interchange $\mu'_{\nu_0 0}$ and μ'_{00}, extend this new vector to a unitary matrix and interchange its 0-th and ν_0-th rows). Due to Householder transform, an extension to a unitary matrix may be realized by:

$$\mu'_{0k} = \overline{\mu'_{k0}} \frac{1 - \mu'_{00}}{1 - \overline{\mu'_{00}}}, \quad \mu'_{\nu k} = \delta_{lk} - \frac{\mu'_{\nu 0}\overline{\mu'_{k0}}}{1 - \overline{\mu'_{00}}}, \quad \nu, k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Because of (20), we have $|\mu'_{\nu 0}| \leq \sqrt{1 - |\mu'_{00}|^2}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$. This yields essential boundedness of the functions $\mu'_{\nu k}$. Since $1 - \mu'_{00}(\mathbf{0}) \neq 0$, it follows that $\mu'_{\nu k} \in L_\infty^{(n)}$, $\nu, k = 0, \dots, r$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k} := \mu'_{\nu k}, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, r, \ k = 1, \dots, , r, \\
&\widetilde{Q}_k := (\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}, \dots, \widetilde{\mu}_{rk}), k = 0, \dots, r, \\
&Q_0 := (\mu_{00}, \dots, \mu_{r0}), Q_k := \widetilde{Q}_k - \widetilde{Q}_k \overline{\widetilde{Q}_0^T} Q_0, \quad k = 1, \dots, r.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the entries of Q_k are in $L_\infty^{(n)}$ and $Q_k \overline{\widetilde{Q}_l^T} = \delta_{kl}$, $k, l = 0, \dots, r$. It remains to denote by $\mu_{\nu k}$ the ν-th component of Q_k.$\diamondsuit$

Lemma 10 *Let A be a class of complex-valued functions such that*

(i) if $f, g \in A$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ then $af + bg \in A$,

(ii) if $f, g \in A$, then $fg \in A$,
and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of matrixes whose entries are in A. If any two $n \times 1$ matrixes Q, $\widetilde{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $Q^T \overline{\widetilde{Q}} = 1$ can be extended to $n \times n$ matrixes $\mathcal{N}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} = I_n$, then any two $n \times j$ matrixes $\mathcal{M}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{A}$, $1 < j < n$, satisfying $\mathcal{M}^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} = I_j$. can be extended to $n \times n$ matrixes $\mathcal{N}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} = I_n$,

`Proof.` We will prove by induction on j. The base for $j = 1$ is given. Let us check the inductive step $j - 1 \to j$. Let $j \times n$ matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $\mathcal{M}^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} = I_j$. Denote by Q_k, $\widetilde{Q}_k$ the k-th columns respectively of $\mathcal{M}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Due to the statement of the base, the matrixes Q_1, $\widetilde{Q}_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ can be extended to $n \times n$ matrixes $\mathcal{N}', \widetilde{\mathcal{N}'} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}'^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}'}} = I_n$, Let Q'_k, $\widetilde{Q}'_k$, $k = 2, \dots, n$, denote the k-th columns respectively of $\mathcal{N}', \widetilde{\mathcal{N}'} \in \mathcal{A}$. Fix a point x for which $Q_l'(x)^T \overline{\widetilde{Q}'}_k(x) = \delta_{kl}$. Since the vectors $Q_2'(x), \dots, Q_n'(x)$ form a basis for the orthogonal complement to $\widetilde{Q}_1'(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$Q_k(x) = \sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_{lk}(x) Q_l'(x), \quad k = 2, \dots, j.$$

Similarly,

$$\widetilde{Q}_k(x) = \sum_{l=2}^{n} \widetilde{\alpha}_{lk}(x) \widetilde{Q}_l'(x), \quad k = 2, \dots, j.$$

It is clear that $\alpha_{lk}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{lk} \in A$ and $\sum\limits_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_{lk} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha}}_{lk'} = \delta_{kk'}$, $k, k' = 2, \dots, j$. Due to the inductive hypotheses, there exist functions $\alpha_{lk}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{lk} \in A$, $l = 2, \dots, n$, $k = j+1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_{lk} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha}}_{lk'} = \delta_{kk'}, \quad k, k' = 2, \dots, n. \tag{21}$$

Set

$$Q_k := \sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_{lk} Q_l', \quad \widetilde{Q}_k := \sum_{l=2}^{n} \widetilde{\alpha}_{lk} \widetilde{Q}_l', \quad k = j+1, \dots, n.$$

Because of (21) and biorthogonality of the systems $Q_1, Q_2', \dots, Q_n'$ and $\widetilde{Q}_1, \widetilde{Q}_2', \dots, \widetilde{Q}_n'$, we obtain

$$Q_l(x)^T \overline{\widetilde{Q}}_k(x) = \delta_{kl}, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, n.$$

To complete the proof it remains to introduce matrixes $\mathcal{N}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ whose columns are respectively $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ and $\widetilde{Q}_1, \dots, \widetilde{Q}_n$. $\Diamond$

Now we are ready to give a necessary condition for VM^n property.

Theorem 11 *Let dual wavelet systems* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *be generated by refinable functions* $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ *whose Fourier transforms have derivatives up to order* n *at the origin, and let the entries* $\mu_{\nu k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}$ *of the corresponding polyphase matrixes* $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ *be in* $L_\infty^{(n)}$. *If* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *has* VM^n *property, then there exist complex numbers* λ_γ, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma] \le n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ *such that (11) holds for* $k = 0, \dots, m-1$.

`Proof.` Due to Lemmas 9, 10, the $(r+1)\times m$ matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ can be extended to $(r+1)\times(r+1)$ matrixes $\mathcal{N}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ such that their entries are in $L_\infty^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{N}^T\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} = I_{r+1}$. It remains to apply conditions (a) of Theorem 8.$\Diamond$

Next let us discuss how to construct a dual wavelet system with VM^n property generated by a pair of refinable functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ with masks $m_0.\widetilde{m}_0$ respectively. Due to Theorem 11, we know that the polyphase representatives of $\widetilde{m}_0$ should satisfy (11). But this theorem said nothing about m_0. Consider the following example. Let $d=1$, $M=m=2$, $s_0=0, s_1=1$, $\mu_{00}=\widetilde{\mu}_{00}=\mu_{01}\equiv\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\quad\widetilde{\mu}_{01}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-\frac{i}{2}\sin 2\pi x\right)$. It is clear that (11) holds for $n=1$ (with $\lambda_0=1$, $\lambda_1=0$). Assume that there exists dual wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ with VM^1 property (for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$) generated by these functions. This means that the polyphase matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, whose first rows are respectively (μ_{00},μ_{01}), $(\widetilde{\mu}_{00},\widetilde{\mu}_{01})$, satisfy (6) and such that (10) holds for the corresponding wavelet masks. Due to Lemmas 9, 10, the matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ can be extended to matrixes $\mathcal{N}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ such that their entries $\mu_{\nu k}$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}$, $\nu, k = 0,\dots,r$, are in $L_\infty^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{N}^T, \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ are mutually inverse. It follows from Theorem 8 that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{r}\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=0}=0. \tag{22}$$

But

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{r}\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=0}=\frac{d}{dx}\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{1}\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=0}=$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{i}{2}\sin 2\pi x\right)\right)\Bigg|_{x=0}=\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{i}{4}\sin 2\pi x\right)\Bigg|_{x=0}\neq 0.$$

So, we see that a generating refinable function φ should be also chosen properly to provide VM^n property for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$.

The following statement gives a sufficient condition for a pair of refinable functions to generate dual wavelet systems with VM^n property.

Theorem 12 *Let $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ be refinable functions, their Fourier transforms $\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ have derivatives up to order n at the origin, $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0})=\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0})=1$, and let $\mu_{00},\dots,\mu_{0,m-1}$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{00},\dots,\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}\in L_\infty^{(n)}$ be the polyphase representatives of their masks. If there exist complex numbers λ_γ, $\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma]\le n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}=1$,* *such that (11) holds for* $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$ *and there exist functions* μ_{0k}, $\widetilde{\mu}_{0k} \in L_\infty^{(n)}$, $k = m, \ldots, r$, *such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \mu_{0k}\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}} = 1,$$
$$D^\beta \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \ k = m, \ldots, r, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \le n.$$

then the functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ *generate dual wavelet systems* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *with* VM^n *property for* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$.

`Proof.` Set $Q = (\mu_{00}, \ldots, \mu_{0r})$, $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{\mu}_{00}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mu}_{0r})$. Due to Lemma 9, the $1 \times (r+1)$ matrixes $Q, \widetilde{Q}$ can be extended to $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ matrixes $\mathcal{N} = \{\mu_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^r$ such that their entries are in $L_\infty^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{N}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^T} = I_{r+1}$. So, the matrixes

$$\mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \cdots & \mu_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{r,0} & \cdots & \mu_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_{00} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\mu}_{r,0} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfy (6). It follows from Theorem 8 that the corresponding wavelet masks $m_1, \ldots, m_{m-1}$ satisfy (10) for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $[\alpha] \le n$, what was to be proved. $\Diamond$

Applied mathematicians and engineers are especially interested in construction of compactly supported wavelet systems. To provide this property generating refinable functions should be compactly supported and wavelet masks should be trigonometric polynomials.

Theorem 13 *Let* $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ *be compactly supported refinable functions with polynomial masks,* $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, *and let* $\mu_{00}, \ldots, \mu_{0,m-1}$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{00}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}$ *be the polyphase representatives of their masks. If there exist complex numbers* λ_γ, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma] \le n$, *such that (11) holds for* $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$ *and there exist trigonometric polynomials* μ_{0k}, $\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}$, $k = m, \ldots, r$, *such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \mu_{0k}\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}} = 1,$$
$$D^\beta \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \ k = m, \ldots, r, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \le n.$$

then the functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ *generate dual compactly supported wavelet systems* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *with* VM^n *property for* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$.

`Proof.` Set $Q = (\mu_{00}, \dots, \mu_{0r})$, $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{\mu}_{00}, \dots, \widetilde{\mu}_{0r})$. Due to Suslin's solution of a generalized Serre conjecture[18], the row Q can be extended to a unimodular matrix with polynomial entries. After this it is not difficult to find $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ matrices $\mathcal{N}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ extending $Q, \widetilde{Q}$. such that their entries are trigonometric polynomials and $\mathcal{N}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^T} = I_{r+1}$ (see [15], [8], [12, §2.6]). Next we repeat the arguments of the previous proof. $\Diamond$

Let us return to the example before Theorem 12. We could not succeed with VM^1 property because the derivative of $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} \mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}$ did not vanish at the zero. Try to change $\mu_{01} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for $\mu_{01} = \widetilde{\mu}_{01}$. Now we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{1} \mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{8}\sin^2 2\pi x\right)\Bigg|_{x=0} = 0.$$

So, providing condition (11) for both the masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$ improved the situation. Taking into account this observation, let us consider generating masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$ whose polyphase representatives satisfy

$$D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \gamma \le \beta} \lambda_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\beta - \gamma} \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \le n, \quad (23)$$

$$D^\beta \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \gamma \le \beta} \widetilde{\lambda}_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\beta - \gamma} \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \le n. \quad (24)$$

Theorem 14 *Let dual wavelet systems* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *be generated by refinable functions* $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ *whose Fourier transforms have derivatives up to order* n *at the origin, the entries* $\mu_{\nu k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}$ *of the corresponding polyphase matrixes* $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ *be in* $L_\infty^{(n)}$ *and there exist complex numbers* $\lambda_\gamma, \widetilde{\lambda}_\gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\gamma] \le n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = \widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$, *such that (23), (24) are fulfilled for* $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. *If at least one of the systems* $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ *has* VM^n *property, then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \gamma \le \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \lambda_\gamma \overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha - \gamma}} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, 0 < [\alpha] \le n. \quad (25)$$

`Proof.` Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $0 < [\alpha] \le n$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, $\rho := 2\pi M^{-1} s_k$. Due to (23), (24), we have

$$m D^\alpha \left(\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \beta \le \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) \overline{D^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\mathbf{0})} =$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\lambda_{\beta-\gamma}(-i\rho)^{\gamma}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\delta\le\alpha-\beta}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\delta}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta-\delta}}(i\rho)^{\delta} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\alpha}\ \sum_{\gamma\le\beta\le\alpha}\ \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\delta\le\alpha-\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\delta}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma}(i\rho)^{\gamma+\delta}\lambda_{\beta-\gamma}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta-\delta}} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\alpha}\ \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\delta\le\alpha-\gamma}\ \sum_{\gamma\le\beta\le\alpha-\delta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\delta}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma}(i\rho)^{\gamma+\delta}\lambda_{\beta-\gamma}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta-\delta}} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\alpha}\ \sum_{\gamma\le\epsilon\le\alpha}\ \sum_{\gamma\le\beta\le\alpha-\epsilon+\gamma}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\epsilon-\gamma}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\lambda_{\beta-\gamma}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta-\epsilon+\gamma}} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\epsilon\le\alpha}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\epsilon}\ \sum_{\gamma\le\beta\le\alpha-\epsilon+\gamma}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha-\beta}{\epsilon-\gamma}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma}\lambda_{\beta-\gamma}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta-\epsilon+\gamma}} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\epsilon\le\alpha}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\epsilon}\ \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha-\epsilon}\binom{\alpha}{\kappa+\gamma}\binom{\kappa+\gamma}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha-\kappa-\gamma}{\epsilon-\gamma}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa-\epsilon}} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\epsilon\le\alpha}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha-\epsilon}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa-\epsilon}}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\epsilon}\frac{\alpha!}{\kappa!\gamma!(\epsilon-\gamma)!(\alpha-\kappa-\epsilon)!}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\epsilon\le\alpha}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha-\epsilon}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa-\epsilon}}\binom{\alpha}{\kappa+\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\epsilon}\binom{\epsilon}{\gamma}(-\mathbf{1})^{\gamma} &= \\
\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\epsilon\le\alpha}(i\rho)^{\epsilon}\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha-\epsilon}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa-\epsilon}}\binom{\alpha}{\kappa+\epsilon}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1})^{\epsilon} &= \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\kappa}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa}}. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $D^{\alpha}\left(\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}}$ does not depend on k and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\kappa\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\kappa}\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\kappa}}=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}D^{\alpha}\left(\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}}=D^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=\mathbf{0}}.$$

Due to Lemmas 9, 10, the $(r+1)\times m$ matrixes $\mathcal{M},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ can be extended to $(r+1)\times(r+1)$ matrixes $\mathcal{N},\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ such that their entries are in $L_{\infty}^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{T}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}=I_{r+1}$. Due to conditions (b) of Theorem 8,

$$D^{\alpha}\left(\mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=\mathbf{0}}=0,\ k=m,\ldots,r.$$

From this, taking into account that $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{r} \mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)} = 1$, we obtain

$$D^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = D^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{r} \mu_{0k}(x)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)}\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0.\Diamond$$

Corollary 15 *Let $\mu_{0k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{0k} \in L_{\infty}^{(n)}$ for some $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. If there exist complex numbers $\lambda_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{\gamma}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, $[\gamma] \le n$, such that (23), (24), (25) are fulfilled, then*

$$D^{\alpha}\left(\overline{\mu_{0k}(x)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x)\right)\Big|_{x=\mathbf{0}} = 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, $0 < [\alpha] \le n$.

The proof of Corollary 15 follows from (26).

4. Methods for construction compactly supported wavelet frames with VM^n property.

Due to Corollary 15 and Theorem 13, refinable functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ whose masks are trigonometric polynomials satisfying (23), (24), (25) generate dual compactly supported wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ with VM^n property for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ (we can set $\mu_{0m} \equiv 1, \widetilde{\mu}_{0m} = \sum\limits_{l=0}^{m-1} \overline{\mu'_{0l}}\, \widetilde{\mu}_{0l}$, in this case). But this is a bad construction. The system $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ does not have VM^0 property. As was mentioned above, such a system can not be a frame. The following method allows to provide VM^n property for each of the systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$.

Step 1. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and given a set of parameters $\lambda_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, $[\beta] \le n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$, find a dual set of parameters $\widetilde{\lambda}_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (25) by the following recursive formulas

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{0}} = 1, \quad \widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} = -\overline{\lambda_{\alpha}} - \sum_{\mathbf{0}<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \overline{\lambda_{\beta}}\widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta}.$$

Step 2. Chose functions $\mu'_{00}, \dots, \mu'_{0\,m-1}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_{00}, \dots, \widetilde{\mu}_{0\,m-1}$ defined by

$$\mu'_{0k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{[\alpha]\le n} g_{\alpha}(x) \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left(-2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)\right)^{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha-\beta} +$$

$$\sum_{[\alpha]=n+1} T_{k,\alpha}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{\alpha_j} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i x_j}\right)^{\alpha_j},$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{[\alpha]\le n} g_\alpha(x) \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \big(-2\pi i M^{-1}s_k)\big)^\beta \widetilde{\lambda}_{\alpha-\beta} + \sum_{[\alpha]=n+1} \widetilde{T}_{k,\alpha}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{\alpha_j} \left(1-e^{2\pi i x_j}\right)^{\alpha_j},$$

where $T_{k,\alpha}, \widetilde{T}_{k,\alpha}$ are arbitrary trigonometric polynomials, g_α are trigonometric polynomials such that $D^\alpha g_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, $D^\beta g_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $\beta \ne \alpha$, $[\beta] \le n$ (recursive formulas for computing g_α are given in [17]). It is clear that (24) are fulfilled

Step 3. Set $\sigma := \sum\limits_{l=0}^{m-1} \overline{\mu'_{0l}}\, \widetilde{\mu}_{0l}, \quad \mu_{0k} := (2-\sigma)\mu'_{0k}, k = 0, \dots, m-1.$

Due to Corollary 15, we have $D^\beta \sigma(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $0 < [\beta] \le n$. It follows that $D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^\beta \mu'_{0k}(\mathbf{0})$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $[\beta] \le n$. It is not difficult to see that (23) holds and

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{\mu_{0k}(x)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k} = (1-\sigma)^2.$$

Set $\mu_{0m} := 1-\sigma, \widetilde{\mu}_{0m} := \overline{1-\sigma}$.

Step 4. Find matrixes

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \cdots & \mu_{0,m-1} & \mu_{0,m} \\ \mu_{10} & \cdots & \mu_{1,m-1} & * \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mu_{m,0} & \cdots & \mu_{m,m-1} & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_{00} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1} & \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m} \\ \widetilde{\mu}_{10} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{1,m-1} & * \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \widetilde{\mu}_{m,0} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{m,m-1} & * \end{pmatrix}$$

such that their entries are trigonometric polynomials and $\mathcal{M}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^T} = I_{m+1}$.

Though the matrixes $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ can be constructed theoretically (see the proof of Theorem 13), it is very complicate to implement the algorithm in practice. Instead, we suggest the following explicit way (the payment of simplicity of this way is increasing of the redundancy).

Set $\mu_{0,m+1} \equiv 0, \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m+1} \equiv 0$. For each $\nu = 1, \dots, m+1$, define

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu,m+1} := \overline{\mu_{0,m+1-\nu}}, \quad \mu_{\nu,m+1} := \overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m+1-\nu}},$$
$$\mu_{\nu k} := \delta_{m+1-\nu,k} - \mu_{0k}\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m+1-\nu}}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k} := \delta_{m+1-\nu,k} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k}\overline{\mu_{0,m+1-\nu}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, m.$$

It is not difficult to see that the matrixes $\mathcal{M} := \{\mu_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^{m+1}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^{m+1}$ satisfy $\mathcal{M}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^T} = I_{m+2}$.

Realization of suggested construction is simple, and there is a good chance to succeed in applications using the corresponding dual wavelet systems $\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}$. However, as it was mentioned above, we can be sure that these systems form dual frames only if additional conditions are fulfilled, in particularly, if $\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ decay fast enough. Checking of such conditions is very complicate in practice. Moreover, usually it is also difficult to check that $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Situation is better for the case $\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} = \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}$. Namely, if $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a refinable function with a mask m_0, $\lim\limits_{\xi \to 0} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = 1$, periodic functions m_ν, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, are so that the corresponding polyphase matrix

$$\mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \dots & \mu_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{r,0} & \dots & \mu_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{T}} \overline{\mathcal{M}} = I_m, \tag{27}$$

and $\widehat{\psi}^{(\nu)}(x) = m_\nu(M^{*-1}x)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-1}x)$, then $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a tight frame. This fact was presented in [16, Corollary 6.7] with an additional assumption which may be omitted if we improve the proof repeating one-dimensional arguments of [13]. So, for any appropriate polyphase matrix $\mathcal{M}$, we may be sure that $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a tight frame whenever $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous at $\mathbf{0}$. If m_0 is a trigonometric polynomial, then φ is compactly supported, which yields continuity of $\widehat{\varphi}$ whenever $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. But this condition will be also fulfilled because, due to (27), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\mu_{0k}|^2 \le 1, \tag{28}$$

and the following generalization of well known Mallat's theorem holds.

Proposition 16 *Let the polyphase representatives of a trigonometric polynomial* m_0 *satisfy (28),* $\mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 1/\sqrt{m}$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, *and let* $f(x) := \prod\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} m_0(M^{*-j}x)$. *Then* $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|f\| \le 1$.

`Proof.` First of all we note (see, e.g., [12], §2.6) that

$$\sum_{s \in D(M^*)} |m_0(x + M^{*-1}s)|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\mu_{0k}(M^*x)|^2. \tag{29}$$

It follows from (28) that

$$\sum_{s\in D(M^*)} |m_0(x+{M^*}^{-1}s)|^2 \le 1. \tag{30}$$

Set $f_k(x)=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{k} m_0({M^*}^{-j}x)\chi_{{M^*}^k[-1/2,1/2]^d}(x)$.

Taking into account that for any 1-periodic (on each variable) function g

$$\int\limits_{[0,1]^d} g(x)\,dx = \sum_{r\in D(M^*)} \int\limits_{{M^*}^{-1}[0,1)^d+{M^*}^{-1}r} g(x)\,dx$$

(see, e.g., [12, § 2.2]), we have

$$\|f_k\|^2 = \int\limits_{{M^*}^k[-1/2,1/2]^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |m_0({M^*}^{-j}x)|^2\,dx = m^k \int\limits_{[0,1]^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |m_0({M^*}^{k-j}x)|^2\,dx =$$

$$m^k \sum_{r\in D(M^*)} \int\limits_{{M^*}^{-1}[0,1)^d+{M^*}^{-1}r} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |m_0({M^*}^{k-j}x)|^2\,dx =$$

$$m^k \sum_{r\in D(M^*)} \int\limits_{{M^*}^{-1}[0,1)^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |m_0({M^*}^{k-j}x)|^2 |m_0(x+{M^*}^{-1}r)|^2\,dx \le$$

$$m^k \int\limits_{{M^*}^{-1}[0,1)^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |m_0({M^*}^{k-j}x)|^2\,dx = m^{k-1} \int\limits_{[0,1)^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |m_0({M^*}^{k-1-j}x)|^2\,dx =$$

$$\int\limits_{{M^*}^{k-1}[0-1/2,1/2]^d} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |m_0({M^*}^{-j}x)|^2\,dx = \|f_{k-1}\|^2,$$

Since, by (5), $m_0(\mathbf{0})=1$, the infinit product converges at each point, which yields $f_k(x) \underset{k\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} f(x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$. It follows from Fatou's lemma

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2\,d\xi = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} |m_0({M^*}^{-j}\xi)|^2\,d\xi = \sup_{k\ge 0} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_k(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \le 1.\Diamond$$

Now let us discuss how to choose a suitable refinable mask m_0 for constructing compactly supported tight wavelet frames with VM^n property.

Note one more necessary condition for VM^n property. If $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a tight frame and $\mathcal{M}$ is its polyphase matrix with polynomial entries, then, due to Suslin's theorem[18] and Lemma 10, there exist $(r+1)\times(r+1)$ polynomial matrixes $\mathcal{N} = \{\mu_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^{r}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}\}_{\nu,k=0}^{r}$ extending $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{N}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^T} = I_{r+1}$. If VM^n property holds for $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, by Theorems 8 and 14,

$$D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\beta} \lambda_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)^{\beta-\gamma} \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \le n, \tag{31}$$

where a set of parameters λ_α is so that

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1, \qquad \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\gamma\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \lambda_\gamma \overline{\lambda_{\alpha-\gamma}} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, 0 < [\alpha] \le n. \tag{32}$$

Of course, providing (31) and (32) is not enough, in particular, these conditions do not guarantee (28). Now we will show that starting with arbitrary trigonometric polynomials satisfying (31), (32), it is possible to improve them such that the improved polynomials are polyphase representatives of a refinable mask generating a tight frame with VM^n property. Our algorithm is based on the following result by M.A.Dritschel.

Theorem 17 *[4] If T is a strictly positive trigonometric polynomial of d variables, $d \ge 1$, then there exist trigonometric polynomials $t_1, \dots, t_N$ so that*

$$T = |t_1|^2 + \ldots + |t_N|^2. \tag{33}$$

Note that another proof of this theorem was found by J. Jeronimo and M. J. Lai [5]. Their proof is constructive for the case $d = 2$. In the case $d = 1$, due to Riesz lemma, (33) holds with $N = 1$ for any non-negative trigonometric polynomial T.

Step 1. Given parameters λ_α, $0 < [\alpha] \le n$, which satisfy (32). choose functions μ'_{0k}, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, defined by

$$\mu'_{0k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{[\alpha]\le 2n} g_\alpha(x) \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left(-2\pi i M^{-1} s_k)\right)^\beta \lambda_{\alpha-\beta} +$$

$$\sum_{[\alpha]=2n+1} T_{k,\alpha}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{\alpha_j} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i x_j}\right)^{\alpha_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \tag{34}$$

where $T_{k,\alpha}$ are arbitrary trigonometric polynomials, g_α are trigonometric polynomials such that $D^\alpha g_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, $D^\beta g_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $\beta \neq \alpha$, $[\beta] \leq n$ (see [17]).

Step 2. Choose large enough positive integers L_i, M_i, $i = 1, \dots, d$, such that the functions

$$\mu''_{0k}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(1 - \sin^{2L_i} \pi x_i\right)^{M_i} \mu'_{0k}(x),\ k = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\mu''_{0k}|^2 \leq 2 \tag{35}$$

and $D^\beta \mu''_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^\beta \mu'_{0k}(\mathbf{0})$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta] \leq n$.

Step 3. Set $\sigma := \sum\limits_{k=0}^{m-1} |\mu''_{0k}|^2$,

$$\mu_{0k}(x) := \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \mu''_{0k}(x),\ k = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

Due to Corollary 15, $D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^\beta \mu''_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = D^\beta \mu'_{0k}(\mathbf{0})$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\beta] \leq n$.

Step 4. Find trigonometric polynomials $\mu_{0m}, \dots, \mu_{0r}$ so that

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\mu_{0k}|^2 \equiv \sum_{k=m}^{r} |\mu_{0k}|^2, \tag{36}$$

$$D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad k = m, \dots, r, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] \leq n. \tag{37}$$

Such polynomials exist due to the identity

$$1 - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^2 \sigma = (1-\sigma)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{4}\right),$$

the fact that $1 - \frac{\sigma}{4} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (because of (35)), Theorem 17 and Corollary 15.

Step 5. Find a unitary matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \dots & \mu_{0,m-1} & \mu_{0m} & \dots & \mu_{0r} \\ \mu_{10} & \dots & \mu_{1,m-1} & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mu_{r,0} & \dots & \mu_{r,m-1} & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix},$$

whose entries are trigonometric polynomials.

It is not known if any appropriate row may be extended to a unitary matrix with polynomial entries. But if $\mu_{0r} \equiv 0$ (which always can be realized), then $\mathcal{M}$ can be defined by: for all $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$ set

$$\mu_{\nu r} := \overline{\mu_{0,r-\nu}}, \quad , \quad \mu_{\nu k} := \delta_{r-\nu,k} - \mu_{0k}\overline{\mu_{0,r-\nu}}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, r. \tag{38}$$

References

[1] A.P.Calderón, Zygmund A., *Local properties of solutions of elliptic partial differential equation,* Studia Math., 20 (1961), p. 171-227.

[2] Chen Di.-R., Han H. and Riemenschneider S. D. *Construction of multivariate biorthogonal wavelets with arbitrary vanishing moments*, Adv. Comput. Math. **13** (2000), No.2, 131-165.

[3] Ingrid Daubechies, Bin Han, Amos Ron, and Zuowei Shen, *Framelets: MRA-based constructions of wavelet frames*, ACHA, Vol. 14 (2003), No. 1, 1–46.

[4] M.A.Dritschel *On factorization of trigonometric polynomials*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 49(2004), 11–42.

[5] Geronimo J. and Lai M.J.*Factorization of Multivariate Positive Laurent Polynomials* J. of Approx. Theory 139(2006), 327–345.

[6] Bin Han. *On dual wavelet tight frames* ACHA. 1997. V. 4. P. 380-413.

[7] Hernandes E. and Weis G.A. *A first cours of wavalets* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1996.

[8] Ji H., Riemenschneider S.D. and Shen Z. *Multivariate compactly supported fundamental refinable functions, dual and biorthogonal wavelets*, Studies of Applied Mathematics **102** (1999), 173-204.

[9] Jia R.Q. *Approximation properties of multivariate wavelets*, Math. Comp. **67** (1998), 647-655.

[10] Ming-Jun Lai and Petukhov A. *Method of Virtual Components for Constructing Redundant Filter and Wavelet Frames* (to appear).

[11] Möller H.M. and Sauer T. *Multivariate refinable functions of high approximation order via quotient ideals of Laurent polynomials*, Adv. Comput. Math. **20** (2004), No.1-3, 205-228.

[12] Novikov I., Protassov V., and Skopina M. *Wavelet Theory.* Moscow: Fizmatlit, 2005.

[13] Petukhov A. *Explicit construction of framelets* ACHA, Vol. 11 (2001(, 313-327.

[14] Petukhov A. *Construction of Symmetric Orthogonal Bases of Wavelets and Tight Wavelet Frames with Integer Dilation Factor*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **17** (2004), 198–210.

[15] Riemenschneider S.D. and Shen Z.W. *Construction of compactly supported biorthogonal wavelets in* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, Preprint, 1997.

[16] Ron A. and Shen Z., *Affine systems in* $\mathbb{L}_2(R^d)$*: the analysis of the analysis operator*, J. Func. Anal. **148** (1997), 408–447.

[17] Skopina M.*On Construction of Multivariate Wavelets with Vanishing Moments*, ACHA, Vol. 20 (2006), 3 375-390.

[18] Suslin A. *The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **41** (1977), No 2, 235-252 (in Russian)

[19] Shen Z. Extension of matrices with Laurent polynomial entries // Proceedings of the 15th IMACS World Congress on Scientific Computation Modeling and Applied Mathematics, Ashim Syclow eds. 1997. P. 57-61.

Maria Skopina, Department of Applied Mathematics and Control Processes,
Saint Petersburg State University,
e-mail: skopina@MS1167.spb.edu